FEATURE GENERATION USING GENETIC PROGRAMMING BASED ON FISHER CRITERION
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2. GENETIC PROGRAMMING BASED FEATURE EXTRACTION

2.1 Process of Genetic Programming

Genetic Programming, as an extension of GA (genetic algorithm), not only inherits the feature selection capability of GA, but also has the ability to generate new features. In this paper, Fisher criterion measures are employed as the fitness function of GP in order to evaluate the effectiveness of each feature.

The procedure of a GP-based feature generation is described as follow: Firstly, an initial population is generated with a fixed number of individuals/features based on a random basis in the starting generation. A fitness value is associated with each individual by the fitness function, which evaluates the discrimination information of each feature for classification. The fitness function is one of most important components of GP to evaluate the effectiveness of each individual (see section 2.2). The individual with the highest fitness value survives from the current generation as the basic member for next generation. This evolutionary approach guarantees the performance of next generation will never drop below that of the current generation. At the beginning of the next generation, three operations (crossover, mutation and reproduction) are conducted upon survivors to produce new members, which will form a new population for the next generation. Finally, the best solution is generated as a result of this evolutionary process when the stopping criterion is met.

2.2 The Fitness Function

As one of the most important components of GP, the fitness function determines the performance of the system. A good fitness measure guarantees the improvement of solutions by rating the performance of each member and giving the stronger ones a better chance of surviving. There have been some attempts to use GP to generate features, using classification success as the fitness values for multi-category classification problems. As these belong to a wrapper type approach, the computational demands are much higher in training a classifier for each individual. In addition, the classification success partially depends on the discrimination ability of the classifier. In order to avoid such weakness, the well known Fisher criterion is utilised for the fitness evaluation by testing the between-class scatter over the within-class scatter.

For any two classes (i and j), the Fisher criterion can be expressed as follow: Firstly, an initial population is generated with a fixed number of individuals/features based on a random basis in the starting generation. A fitness value is associated with each individual by the fitness function, which evaluates the discrimination information of each feature for classification. The fitness function is one of most important components of GP to evaluate the effectiveness of each individual (see section 2.2). The individual with the highest fitness value survives from the current generation as the basic member for next generation. This evolutionary approach guarantees the performance of next generation will never drop below that of the current generation. At the beginning of the next generation, three operations (crossover, mutation and reproduction) are conducted upon survivors to produce new members, which will form a new population for the next generation. Finally, the best solution is generated as a result of this evolutionary process when the stopping criterion is met.

1. INTRODUCTION

Feature extraction is one of the most important tasks for dimensionality reduction in pattern recognition problems. It is required to have the capability to map the original features into a smaller number of features for reducing the dimensionality of data presented to the classifier and hence to improve the classification efficiency.

FLDA (Fisher linear discriminant analysis) and PCA (principal component analysis) are linear feature extraction methods. Reducing dimensionality of features is one of the advantage of linear feature extraction algorithms. However, the limitation of these methods comes from the difficulty to capture a nonlinear relationship within the data which are not linearly separable. To overcome the weakness of those linear feature extraction methods, nonlinear versions of PCA and FDA have been developed in the kernel space [1][2][3].

In recent year, applications of machine learning algorithm have become popular for the feature extraction problems. GP was first introduced by Koza [4] and has been proposed as a machine learning method in pattern recognition problems. The feasibility of applying GP to a multi-class pattern classification problem has been studied in [5].

In this paper a novel method is presented using GP to extract nonlinear features and reduce the dimensionality based on Fisher criterion. This approach provides a solution which obtains a single tree/feature by only a single run of GP. Compared with the framework presented in [6], the number of features is reduced significantly for improving the classification results. In further, the proposed approach is experimentally compared with Kernel Generalised Discriminant Analysis (KGDA) and Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA).
defined by
\[
    f_{i,j} = \frac{|\mu_i - \mu_j|}{\sqrt{V_i + V_j}},
\]
where \( \mu_i \) is the mean of \( i \)-th class, \( \mu_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} x_k \), \( V_i \) is the variance of the \( i \)-th class, \( V_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \mu_i)^2 \), \( x_k \) is the \( k \)-th observation in the class \( i \), \( 1 \leq k \leq N \) and \( N \) is the number of observations. The numerator of \( f_{i,j} \) denotes the distance between-class \( i \) and \( j \), while the denominator denotes the range of variance within-classes \( i \) and \( j \).

Given a set of individuals of GP \( \{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n\} \), where \( n \) is the number of individuals/trees in each generation and \( I_p \) is the \( p \)-th individual/tree with \( N_p \) samples with assigned class label, a corresponding fitness value \( F_p \) is assigned to \( p \)-th individual/tree. The fitness function identifies the individual that can progress to the next generation.

For a two class problem, a threshold \( (T) \) is set to control the termination of running. The fitness function is defined by
\[
    1. \quad F_p = \max\{f_{i,j}|I_k,k = 1, \ldots, n\}
\]
\[
    2. \quad \text{If} \quad F_p < T, \quad I_p \quad \text{is put into the next generation}.
\]
\[
    \text{Else,} \quad \text{GP is terminated.}
\]

\( F_p \) is the fitness value for individual \( I_p \), which has the largest fitness value among \( n \) individuals.

It is well known that the Fisher criterion measures the distribution of between-class scatter over the within-class scatter. The individual having a high fitness value indicates that difference between any two classes is large since the magnitude of Fisher criterion value determines the degree of separation of two classes. During the evolutionary process looking for larger value of fitness, the between-class scatter is maximised and at the same time the within-class scatter is minimised.

The fitness function for \( c \)-class \((c > 2)\) can be defined in the following steps:

1. Loop \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \)
   (a) For individual \( I_k \), calculate the mean of samples from each class. \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_c \) are obtained.
   (b) Sort mean values in descending/ascending order to obtain sorted index \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, c \).
   (c) Calculate \( f_{i,(i+1)} \) \((1 \leq i \leq (c - 1))\) for each adjacent pair of classes based on Equation (1).
   (d) Set \( F_k = 0 \).
   (e) Loop \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, (c - 1) \);
      i. If \( f_{i,(i+1)} > T, F_k = F_k + 1 \);
      Else \( F_k = F_k + f_{i,(i+1)}/T \);
   End Loop \( i \)
   End Loop \( k \)
\[
    2. \quad F_p = \max\{F_k | k = 1, \ldots, n\}
\]
\[
    3. \quad \text{If} \quad F_p < (c - 1), I_p \quad \text{is put into the next generation}.
\]
\[
    \text{Else if} \quad F_p = (c - 1), \quad \text{GP is terminated.}
\]

This fitness function shows the procedure for evaluating the fitness of each individual and looks for the best individuals during the learning process of GP. The advantage of the fitness function is that only \((c - 1)\)-two class Fisher criteria value \( f_{i,(i+1)} \) are required in the calculation. The fitness function is a measure of separation, designed in such a way that the contribution of the Fisher criteria value \( f_{i,(i+1)} \) is the same once it is bigger than the threshold \( T \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>No. of Inputs</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+, −</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Addition, Subtraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>×, ÷</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Multiplication, Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sqrt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Square Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sin, cos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trigonometric functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tan, tanh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trigonometric functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asin, acos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trigonometric functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reciprocal, log</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reciprocal, Logarithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abs, negator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Absolute, Negative Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Operator set

2.3 Primitive Terminator sets and Operator sets

GP collects the relevant information of classification from the experiments through the terminator sets. In this paper, the full terminator set includes experimental data (see Section 5.1) and some numerical values, which are randomly generated at the construction cycle of the new individuals. These numerical values could be either integer or floating point numbers, both ranging from 1 to 100.

Operator sets as one of the main building blocks of GP is used to connect the different terminators to generate new features. A stack of mathematical functions are stored as operator sets, which perform mathematical functions on one or more terminators/operators. This constitutes a tree structure for each population member. Table 1 lists the mathematical functions of function sets used in this paper.

2.4 Primitive Operations

Genetic programming evolves tree individuals representing possible solutions to the problem at hand. The new generation of individuals are randomly created based on performing the three genetic operations:

- **Crossover:** GP carries out a crossover operation to create new individuals with a probability \( P_c \), which controls the occurrence of the crossover throughout generations. Two new individuals are generated by selecting compatible nodes randomly from each parent and swapping them, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

- **Mutation:** The mutation operation is performed by the creation of a subtree at a randomly selected node with the probability \( P_m \). First, for a given parent, there is an index assigned to each node for identification. A random index number is generated to indicate the place where mutation will happen. The node is located, then the tree downstream from this node is deleted and a new subtree is generated from this node (see Fig. 1(b)), exactly in the same way as growing initial population.

- **Reproduction:** The reproduction operation is performed by copying individuals to the next population without any change in terms of a certain probability \( P_r \).

All these three operations happen within one generation based on the three probabilities
\[
    P_c + P_m + P_r = 1
\]

2.5 The Representation of Each Individual

In this paper, tree presentation is used to evolve programs. Each individual can be written as a mathematical
A formula that transforms useful information from the original feature set into a new feature. The formula $T_{\text{Root}} = \tanh(\text{feature}1) + \text{feature}2$ mathematically explains the individual generated by GP in Figure 1.

3. KERNEL FEATURE EXTRACTION METHODS

Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA) and Kernel Generalised Discriminant Analysis (KGDA) are two independent nonlinear feature extraction/selection methods, both of which perform the mapping into the feature space $F$ with kernel functions and uses a linear analysis algorithm to discover patterns in the nonlinear kernel-defined space. Kernel PCA is a non-linear extension of the PCA in a kernel-defined feature space making use of the dual representation. KGDA is derived from a linear version of the discriminant analysis, namely, Fisher linear discriminant analysis (FLDA). FLDA is a supervised method and is designed optimally with its ability to maximise the ratio of within-class scatter and between-class scatter of projected features. The idea of KGDA is to solve the problem of FLDA in a kernel feature space, thereby yielding a nonlinear discriminant in the input space.

4. CLASSIFIER

K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN) and Minimum Distance Classifier (MDC) are employed in this paper to evaluate the discriminating ability of features generated by GP and other feature extraction methods presented previously.

KNN is to classify a test object based on majority of $k$-nearest neighbour category. Given that the version of $k = 1$ is often rather successful. 1-NN is used as the classifier to examine the performance of features in this paper.

MDC is the simplest supervised classification criterion. Basically, the method finds centres of classes and measures distances between these centres and the test data.

5. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

5.1 Experimental Data

In order to examine the capability and efficiency of this proposed approach for the feature extraction task, a series of experiments are conducted on five data sets (see Table 2). Five datasets used in the pattern recognition field are employed in this paper to evaluate the proposed method in terms of the classification accuracy. Table 2 lists the number of classes, original features, training examples and test examples of five data sets. Those five data sets are chosen from the UCI repository of machine learning data sets.

Table 3 presents the best classification accuracy obtained by different feature sets generated by GP and KPCA and KGDA methods using the KNN classifier. It can be seen from Table 3 that single GP feature as the input to the KNN classifier.
achieves the best classification accuracy compared to other pattern recognition methods on all of the datasets. The original feature with KNN reaches 94% success, same as using and KGDA generated features with KNN for Iris dataset. For Lung cancer dataset, when KGDA generated features as the input to a KNN, the best classification result is just 47.06% success, the lowest among all pattern recognition systems. For the animal classification problem (zoo dataset), KPCA/KNN does not achieve any improvement even compared to that using the original feature sets with KNN.

To further examine the powerful dimensionality reduction capability of the proposed method, MDC as the simplest classifier is employed for five different classification problems. In these experiments, the same group of feature sets prepared by different feature extraction algorithms (KPCA, KGDA and GP) are used as the input to the MDC respectively.

Table 4 demonstrates the best classification results of MDC using original features, KPCA extracted features, KGDA extracted features and a single GP-generated feature. From Table 4 it can be seen that the best classification accuracy using the features extracted by KPCA and KGDA with MDC is lower than that using original feature sets with MDC in most datasets, except zoo data sets. For the zoo data, KGDA features outperform the original features with the MDC. Moreover, the GP generated feature with MDC achieves the best among those using other methods generated features with MDC for five different classification problems.

Table 3: The best classification accuracy (%) using original features, $c-1$ KPCA-extracted features, $c-1$ KGDA-extracted features and one GP-generated features respectively, with a KNN classifier on all the experimental data sets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Original features</th>
<th>KPCA</th>
<th>GDA</th>
<th>GP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>65.71</td>
<td>71.47</td>
<td>77.88</td>
<td>97.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>94.67</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>94.67</td>
<td>97.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lense</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>69.23</td>
<td>90.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>52.94</td>
<td>58.82</td>
<td>47.06</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zoo</td>
<td>94.23</td>
<td>88.46</td>
<td>94.23</td>
<td>98.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The best classification accuracy (%) using original features, $c-1$ KPCA-extracted features, $c-1$ GDA-extracted features and one GP-generated features respectively, with a MDC classifier on all the experimental data sets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Original features</th>
<th>KPCA</th>
<th>GDA</th>
<th>GP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>84.25</td>
<td>72.12</td>
<td>67.63</td>
<td>93.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>97.33</td>
<td>89.33</td>
<td>94.67</td>
<td>97.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lense</td>
<td>72.73</td>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>69.23</td>
<td>84.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>47.06</td>
<td>58.82</td>
<td>47.06</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zoo</td>
<td>84.62</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>94.23</td>
<td>98.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. DISCUSSION

Summarising all the results obtained from different approaches for pattern recognition problem based on five different data sets, it can be said that performances from a single GP-generated feature are the most accurate and reliable in all experiments. From the results of five pattern recognition problems, GP is not only capable of reducing the dimensionality, but also achieving a significant improvement in the classification accuracy. Using the single feature generated by GP, a significant improvement in classification accuracy and robustness is achieved, compared to other sets of features extracted by KPCA and KGDA.

From the different types of experiments presented in this paper, it is demonstrated that the proposed GP framework performs either the best or equally best. As demonstrated in the simulation results, the classification accuracy in these datasets are the highest among all the approaches tested. The more important aspect of this approach is the significant reduction of dimensionality required to describe the problem compared to other three classical feature extraction/selection methods. It is a highly efficient learning tool by combining different non-linear functions to transform useful information into one a dimensional space, in which the characteristic of each class is given a prominence. Compared with other GP-based methods which need $c$ GP-trees [8,13,16] to solve a $c$-class ($c > 2$) pattern recognition problem, the approach proposed here requires only a single GP run to produce a single tree representative. This appears to be a promising start.
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