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ABSTRACT
This paper addresses the problem of retrieving those shots from a database of video sequences that match a query image. Existing architectures match the images using a high-level representation of local features extracted from the video database, and are mainly based on Bag of Words model. Such architectures lack however the capability to scale up to very large databases. Recently, Fisher Vectors showed promising results in large scale image retrieval problems, but it is still not clear how they can be best exploited in video-related applications. In our work, we use compressed Fisher Vectors to represent the video shots and we show that inherent correlation between video frames can be effectively exploited. Experiments show that our proposed system achieves better performance while having lower computational requirements than similar architectures.

Index Terms— video retrieval, video search, object retrieval, object search, SIFT descriptors.

1. INTRODUCTION
The widespread popularity of multimedia-enabled devices has fostered the blooming of large collections of digital items. As such collections grow larger, techniques for media searching, indexing and retrieval capable to scale up to very big databases are sought. For example, Internet video providers such as YouTube are facing the challenge of efficiently serving users requests with appropriate video contents. To a smaller scale, domestic users may want to easily dig into libraries of videos that they have collected over time. In this paper, we consider a specific video retrieval problem, namely retrieving those shots from a database of video sequences that match a given query image; we refer to this as object retrieval from video.

In their seminal paper [1], Sivic et al. proposed an object retrieval architecture based on the Bag of Words (BoW) model. Local features are extracted from a subset of the video frames and represented as SIFT descriptors. Then, using K-means, clusters of descriptors are created, forming a vocabulary of visual words. As we will detail in Section 2, images are represented as visual word frequency histograms over the vocabulary. Nevertheless, in order to contain the loss in terms of performance, it is required that the vocabulary grows linearly with the number of frames in the database, thus making such architecture unsuited to handle large scale scenarios.

Conversely, Fisher Vectors (FV) showed promising results in large scale image classification and retrieval problems [2,3]. Image local descriptors are represented as a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM), where the Gaussians can be seen as the conceptual equivalent of the visual words in BoW. The number of Gaussians employed is orders of magnitude lower than the number of visual words required by BoW to achieve the same performance.

In this paper, we introduce a novel architecture for object retrieval from video that improves over [1, 4] in the following aspects. i) The video is considered as a sequence of semantically coherent segments, the shots, rather than as a simple sequence of pictures. Within each shot, persistent descriptors are tracked as to represent the video using relatively few highly distinctive descriptors. ii) Shots are modelled via a FV-based representation, where each FV is further compressed by means of Principal Component Analysis (PCA). We compare with a reference architecture similar to [1, 5] and we show that the per-shot representation reduces offline learning time, while compressing the vectors cuts down memory requirements, reduces query time and improves performance.

2. BACKGROUND
In this section, we overview the Video Google architecture described in [1, 4]. It can be summarized as an initial offline stage for the creation of a visual vocabulary, followed by an online stage for the processing of the queries.

2.1. Offline stage
First, local features are detected in a subset of the frames of the video database (the keyframes) and represented with SIFT descriptors. Descriptors are clustered into $K$ centroids using the K-means algorithm, whose output is the set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_K\}$ of 128-dimensional elements, which we refer to as a vocabulary of visual words. TF-IDF [6] weighting is then applied to
the histograms to down-weight words that appear often in the vocabulary and to promote words that are highly characteristic of a specific keyframe. Eventually, each keyframe \( t_f \), \( f \in [1, F] \), is represented as a \( K \)-bins histogram and the resulting collection of \( F \) histograms is represented as the \( K \times F \) matrix \( T = [t_1, \ldots, t_F] \).

2.2. Online stage

The online stage is performed every time the user submits a query image. Descriptors are extracted and a histogram-based representation of the image is generated, mapping each descriptor to its nearest neighbour in \( V \). The histogram of the query image is then \( TF-IDF \)-weighted. Let \( t_q \) be the \( K \times 1 \) vector representing the query image histogram: we compute the \( F \times 1 \) score vector \( S^\top = [s_1, \ldots, s_F] \) as

\[
S = T^\top t_q,
\]

where each value is the result of the inner product of \( t_q \) and \( t_f \). The higher the value, the more likely it is that the \( f \)-th keyframe is similar to the query image, so sorting the score vector is equivalent to sorting the keyframes in order of relevance with the user query.

Finally, we would like to comment on the computational complexity of the BoW model. In [1,4], the authors show that the number of visual words used is a fraction of the number of local descriptors. Therefore, the memory required to store \( V \) increases with the database size, together with the time spent during the online stage to map the new local descriptors to the visual words. Therefore, since BoW model is inherently limited in scalability, more compact and efficient retrieval architectures are sought.

3. PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE

In this section, we describe the proposed architecture illustrated in Figure 1.

3.1. Shots representation

3.1.1. Shot segmentation

We choose to represent each video as a collection of shots, where a shot is a sequence of frames that are coherent by content. The motivation behind this choice is twofold. First, it is highly likely that the user wishes to detect in which shot the query object appears, rather than in which particular frame. Once the shot is identified, it is always possible to refine the retrieval result to the frame level. Second, grouping local descriptors at a shot-level allows to focus the representation on the non-redundant information, as will be detailed in Section 3.1.3.

To detect the boundaries between consecutive shots, we simply compare the sum of absolute differences of the color histograms of two consecutive frames with a threshold value. This first segmentation returns a subdivision of the video sequence in shots. Next, we apply the same segmentation process to each shot, but with a lower threshold. The result is a further subdivision of each shot: we consider as keyframe the median frame in each group of frames found by this second segmentation step.

3.1.2. Local features extraction and representation

For each keyframe we compute Hessian-Affine regions [7] and we represent them via SIFT descriptors. Then, we convert them to RootSIFT [8] first \( L_1 \)-normalizing them and then computing the element-wise square root. Calculating the Euclidean distance between RootSIFT is in fact equivalent to calculating the Bhattacharyya distance with a Hellinger kernel on standard SIFT, which was shown to yield better results in several applications [8].

3.1.3. Feature tracking

Due to the temporal correlation between consecutive keyframes, local descriptors tend to repeat themselves across every shot, unnecessarily increasing the computational complexity of the FVs generation process. Aiming to represent each shot with the minimum amount of redundancy, we perform a per-shot tracking of local descriptors throughout the video. The objective is to obtain a representation of the evolution of local descriptors across a shot, called thread in the following.

For each pair of consecutive keyframes \( k_{i-1} \) and \( k_i \) within a video shot of length \( L_i, i \in [2, L] \), for all the \( N_i \) local descriptors \( d_{ij} \), \( j \in [1, N_i] \), we compute the first and second nearest neighbours and the respective Euclidean distances in
the local descriptors space, respectively: \(d_{j1}^q, d_{j2}^q, \Delta_j^1\) and \(\Delta_j^2\). If \(r_j = \frac{\Delta_j^1}{\Delta_j^2} \leq \theta\), \(d_{j1}^q\) and \(d_{j2}^q\) are considered as a match.

The motivation behind this operation is explained in [9], where the authors have studied the probability density function (PDF) of the ratio \(r_j\) on several thousands of images. They discovered that the PDF for correct matches significantly differs from the one for incorrect ones, and that, in general, incorrect matches tend to have a ratio near to 1.

Whenever a new match is obtained, \(d_{j1}^q\) is added to the same thread of \(d_j^q\), otherwise \(d_{j1}^q\) is considered as a part of a new thread. Finally, each thread composed by more than one descriptor is represented as the mean of all the local descriptors belonging to it. Doing so, the total number of local descriptors used to represent a shot is significantly reduced. As it will be illustrated in Section 4, this provides a significant reduction of the time needed to compute the FVs. As a very last step, the dimensionality of the threads is reduced from \(d = 128\) to \(d' = 64\) through PCA, as in [5] the authors show that such reduction is not detrimental to the performance of an image retrieval system.

### 3.1.4. The Fisher Vector representation of a shot

Borrowing the notation from [2], let \(X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}\) be the set of \(N\) descriptors representing all the threads in the video database. The problem is to find the GMM of \(K_G\) multivariate Gaussians that best fits \(X\). Solving this problem is equivalent to finding the set of \(d'\)-dimensional parameters \(\lambda = \{w_1, \mu_1, \sigma_1, \ldots, w_{K_G}, \mu_{K_G}, \sigma_{K_G}\}\) that maximizes the log-likelihood function

\[
\mathcal{L}(X|\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \sum_{k=1}^{K_G} w_k p_k(x_n|\lambda),
\]

that is we want to find parameter vector \(\lambda\) that maximizes the likelihood that \(X\) was generated from a linear combinations of \(K_G\) multivariate Gaussian functions. This problem is iteratively solved by means of the Expectation Maximization algorithm.

Then, let \(S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_T\}\) be the set of RootSIFT descriptors representing the threads of a shot: it can be described according to the GMM model with the gradient vector

\[
G^S_X = \nabla_{\lambda} \log p(X|\lambda).
\]

Intuitively, it describes the direction in which the model parameters should be modified to match the descriptors of the shot considered. The FV representation is obtained by concatenating all the gradient vectors relative to the \(K_G\) Gaussians. For the details of how this gradient can be efficiently computed, we refer the reader to [2] and [3].

In our experiments, we have verified that considering only the gradients with respect to the Gaussians mean values \(\mu\) yields a more compact description with a negligible performance loss. Therefore, in the following a FV representation of a shot is a vector composed of \(D = K_G\) \(d'\) elements.

Since regular patterns (e.g., the bricks of a wall) tend to generate bursts of descriptors that can bias the model away from those areas of the image that are of interest to the user [10], we normalize each FV to reduce the impact of such descriptors as \(f(z) = \text{sgn}(z)|z|^{0.5}\), as in [5]. Thereafter, each FV is also \(L_2\)-normalized, to guarantee that if one of the FV of the database is used as a query, the best retrieved shot is exactly the FV of the shot used as a query.

As a last step, we reduce the FVs dimensionality by means of a further stage of PCA, as [5] shows that PCA-reduced FVs exhibit smaller footprint with little performance penalty. Let \(D = K_G\) \(d'\) be the length of a FV, the PCA compacts the corresponding vector to \(D' \ll D\), where typical \(D'\) lengths generally lie between 128 and 1024. In some cases, PCA compression even improves the performance, as we show in Section 4.2.

### 3.2. Query stage

During the query stage, a set \(Q\) of \(d'\)-dimensional RootSIFT descriptors is computed and a FV representation \(t_q\) of the query image is obtained with the same procedure described above. Gradient vectors \(G^Q_X\) computed with respect to the mean values \(\mu\) of the GMM previously obtained are calculated and concatenated to create the FV representation. As a last step, the FV is normalized and PCA-reduced to the same size \(D'\) of the FVs of the database.

Recalling the same notation used in Section 2.2, the database of video sequences is represented by the matrix \(T = [t_1, \ldots, t_F]\), where \(F\) indicates this time the number of shots in the database. In this context, \(T\) is a \(D' \times F\) matrix, where each column is the FV representation of one of the \(F\) shots in the database. Let \(t_q\) be a vector of length \(D'\) representing the FV of the query image: we obtain a scored list of matching shots by means of the inner product \(S = T^\top t_q\).

The use of the inner product to compare two FVs is motivated by Perronnin et al. in [3], where they analytically illustrate the analogies between FVs and the TF-IDF weighted histograms of BoW model, proving the efficacy of the inner-product as a measure of similarity.

### 4. RETRIEVAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we compare a FV-based architecture with a reference based on a classic BoW model, described in Section 2. Furthermore, we also consider several possible setups, showing that our proposal, which adopts feature tracking and compressed FV representation of the shots, achieves the best results.
4.1. Experimental setup

The database of video sequences has been generated from the full-length movie “Inside Job”, which is 109 minutes long. We detected approximately 150000 frames, 1200 shots, 5000 keyframes and 6 millions of descriptors. By comparison, the authors of [1] experimented with a subset of 48 shots accounting for about 10000 frames and 200000 descriptors extracted from the movies “Run Lola Run” and “Groundhog Day”. We experiment with 15 query images depicting objects, buildings and logos found in the movie, but taken from different points of view and under different levels of illumination and background cluttering. Most importantly, queries are completely unrelated with the database, as they have been picked from the Internet. To our knowledge, such a database has never been presented in a retrieval from video scenario, so we will soon make it available. Conversely, in [1] the query images are taken from the very same movies used to build the video database. While our preliminary experiments showed that this latter approach enables better retrieval performance, we believe that our procedure is not only more challenging, but it also better reflects a possible real case scenario. Figure 2 shows an example query and a portion of the ranked list of retrieved shots.

The considered architecture setups are evaluated using mean average precision (mAP) as retrieval performance metric, as it expresses both precision and recall. In addition to this, also three cost metrics are adopted. Learning time is the time required to track the features and to represent the shots as compressed FVs. For the BoW model, instead, it is represented by the time required to create the vocabulary and to generate the histograms of visual words. Query time is the time required to represent the query image in the appropriate form (histograms of visual words or FVs) and to return a ranked list of shots to the user. We do not account for the computation required to compute SIFT, as it is a constant time that does not depend on the database size. In-memory set represents the memory required to answer to a query. For FV-based setups, it accounts for the GMM statistical parameters vectors and the FV representation of the video shots. For BoW, it amounts to the visual vocabulary plus the histograms.

4.2. Experimental Results

Figure 3 compares the mAP achieved by a FV-based architecture against BoW as a function of the length of the representation of a single shot. Such length corresponds to the number of rows of matrix $\mathcal{T}$ described in the previous sections. For BoW, this value is equal to the number of visual words $K$, while for the proposed architecture it corresponds to $K_G d'$, as no PCA-reduction of the FV dimensionality is considered in this preliminary experiment. The figure clearly shows that the FV-based architecture achieves the same mAP for a tenth of the database representation size required by the reference.

Then, in Figure 4 we compare different setups of a FV-based architecture. We report the mAP as a function of the number $K_G$ of Gaussians for different degrees of FV compression. Clearly, the performance increases with the number of Gaussians. Less intuitively, the figure shows that PCA compression even increases the mAP at same values of $K_G$; e.g., for $K_G \geq 512$, PCA-512 compression boosts the mAP by about 3%. This finding is coherent with the results of [5], and confirms that FVs bear a lot of internal correlation that is detrimental and that is removed by PCA.

Table 1 compares the computational requirements of the architectures described so far to achieve a mAP of about 0.6. BoW is affected by very large memory requirements and long learning and query times, which grow with the number of visual words $K$. Moreover, since the Nearest Neighbour search is responsible for most of the time spent during the retrieval stage, $K$ also drives the query time.

FV-based architectures exhibit much lower computational time and smaller memory footprint at similar retrieval performance. For $K_G=1024$ and without PCA compression ($FV \text{ NO-PCA}$), all the costs considered are significantly reduced.
Table 1. Computational and memory requirements of the evaluated architectures for comparable values of mAP. Our proposed architecture (last row) exhibits lowest memory requirements thanks to FV compression and lowest learning time thanks to feature tracking. Tests were performed on 16-cores Intel Xeon @2.90GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K$ or $K_G$</th>
<th>Shot representation length</th>
<th>mAP</th>
<th>Learning Time</th>
<th>Query Time</th>
<th>In-memory set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BoW</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>1600 min</td>
<td>4.6 s</td>
<td>1.2 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV NO-PCA</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>65536</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>51 min</td>
<td>0.079 s</td>
<td>319 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV NO-PCA</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>32768</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>23.5 min</td>
<td>0.034 s</td>
<td>159 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV PCA-512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>24 min</td>
<td>0.029 s</td>
<td>2.8 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV F.Tracking PCA-512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>12 min</td>
<td>0.029 s</td>
<td>2.8 MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Further reducing $K_G$ from 1024 to 512 compacts the shot representation length, which is equal to $K_G d'$, thus obtaining a GMM that is less complex to fit and a smaller matrix $T$ that is faster to query. The second to last row shows the beneficial effects of PCA compression. When FV length is reduced from $D = K_G d' = 32768$ to $D' = 512$, i.e., by a factor of 64, the in-memory set decreases by the same amount and the learning time increases of just about 30 seconds due to the PCA compression. Finally, the last row presents the costs of our proposed architecture. Together with Figure 4, it shows that feature tracking is beneficial for the learning time and not detrimental for performance.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we proposed a novel architecture for object retrieval from video based on a compressed Fisher Vector representation of video shots. We analysed the problem as initially introduced by [1], introducing and adapting the state of the art in image retrieval to a video scenario. Our results demonstrate that exploiting the natural correlation between consecutive video keyframes, it is possible to significantly reduce the computational time with no loss in terms of performance.