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Abstract—This paper studies the detection of bird calls in audio segments using stacked convolutional and recurrent neural networks. Data augmentation by blocks mixing and domain adaptation using a novel method of test mixing are proposed and evaluated in regard to making the method robust to unseen data. The contributions of two kinds of acoustic features (dominant frequency and log mel-band energy) and their combinations are studied in the context of bird audio detection. Our best achieved AUC measure on five cross-validations of the development data is 95.5% and 88.1% on the unseen evaluation data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bird audio detection (BAD) refers to identifying the presence or absence of a bird call/tweet in a given audio recording. This task acts as a preliminary step in the automatic monitoring of biodiversity [1], [2]. After identifying the presence of bird call activity, a species-based classifier can recognize the bird call more accurately [3], [4]. In this regard, the bird audio detection challenge [5] was organized with an objective to create algorithms that are robust and scalable to work on real life bio-acoustics monitoring projects without any manual intervention. The challenge provided annotated and non-annotated bird call recordings. The former is utilized as the training dataset and the latter are recordings from a completely different geographical location and employed as the test dataset. This geographical mismatch imposes a further difficulty to the problem since any proposed method should be context independent.

The bio-diversity changes widely across geographical locations. For example, bird species in one location are not the same in the other. Different locations also mean different acoustic environments leading to a variety of sound sources specific to the respective soundscapes. Furthermore, each of these bird species has unique calls, resulting in a wide variety of bird calls. Labeling such a wide variety of calls into one class weakens the classifier and can result in misclassification of similar sounding non-bird sounds. The problem is further intensified in the dataset used because each of the bird calls has been recorded with different devices that add their own system noise. A bird audio detection method which can work across such a wide range of species and environments is termed as a generic method.

To our knowledge, there has not been any publication specific to detection of bird calls in audio. Bird audio detection has been used as a submodule in the bird species classification task [3], [4]. In the context of manual annotation of audio for very large biodiversity surveys [2], using a binary bird audio detector helps filter a number of negative instances, thereby improving the efficiency.

In this paper, we propose the employment of methods of sound event detection (SED) and their adaptation to the specific problem of detecting bird calls, approaching the BAD as a SED problem. In the case of general SED, the state of the art results have been reported in [6] using convolutional recurrent neural networks (CRNNs). The CRNN architecture exploits the combined modeling capacities of a convolutional neural network (CNN), a recurrent neural network (RNN), and a fully connected (FC) layer. CRNN architectures have also been proposed in automatic speech recognition [7] and music classification [8]. In [9], these CRNN’s were extended to accommodate multiple feature classes and the feature maps from CNNs were processed using a bidirectional RNN. This architecture was called the convolutional bidirectional recurrent neural network (CBRNN). We use this CBRNN for identifying the presence of bird call in the audio.

In particular, for the BAD task, we propose to use the CBRNN and train it with regularization methods like dropout and early stopping to reduce the over-fitting to training data. This makes it generic and it performs equally well on unseen data from different recording conditions. Data augmentation method of blocks mixing and a novel domain adaptation method of test mixing are proposed and analyzed with respect to making the classifier robust to new data. Two features (log mel-band energy and dominant frequency) and their combination are analyzed in the context of the BAD task.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The proposed method involving the extraction of acoustic features representing the harmonic and non-harmonic content of the audio are presented in Section II-A. The state of the art network for SED task and its configuration for the BAD is explained and presented in Section II-B. Data augmentation and domain adaptation techniques are studied for generalizing the BAD methods in Section II-C. The evaluation and results are reported and discussed in section III.

II. METHOD

The input to the proposed method is an audio signal of length 10 seconds. Acoustic features, namely log mel-band energy and dominant frequency, are extracted from this audio in frames of 40 ms. This amounts to 500 frames in total for 10 seconds audio. The stacked neural network reads in the 500 frames.
frames of features and maps them to the presence or absence of a bird call. This stacked neural network is built by stacking layers of CNN, RNN and FC followed by a single node output layer producing outputs in the range of [0, 1]. The output zero marks the presence and one marks the absence of the bird call. The details of the feature extraction and the stacked neural network are described below.

A. Feature extraction

In this paper, we experiment with two kinds of features and analyze their contributions. Just like human speech and singing, bird calls can have harmonic, non-harmonic, broadband, and noisy structure [10]. We propose to model the overall content of the audio using the log mel-band energy feature \( \text{mbe} \). \( \text{mbe} \) has also been shown to be effective in the general SED tasks [6].

The harmonic content in the audio is proposed to be represented using three local dominant frequencies and their respective magnitudes \( \text{dom-freq} \) in each frame. \( \text{dom-freq} \) has been used as a perceptual feature in SED tasks [9] and has provided considerable improvement when used along with \( \text{mbe} \).

Both the features were extracted from frames of 40 ms length with 50% overlap using a Hamming window. The three \( \text{dom-freq} \)’s were extracted in the range of 500-8000 Hz. By choosing a minimum frequency of 500 Hz, we get rid of most environmental ambience and human speech related fundamental frequencies. The extraction was done on thresholded parabolically-interpolated STFT [11] using the librosa implementation [12]. The log mel-band energy was calculated for 40 mel-bands in 0-22050 Hz range.

B. Proposed neural network

Each of the feature classes, \( \text{mbe} \) and \( \text{dom-freq} \), is handled separately in the first layer of the CBRNN. \( T = 500 \) frames of 40 \( \text{mbe} \) from mono channel audio are stacked into a volume of \( T \times 40 \times 1 \). While the three frequencies and their amplitudes of \( \text{dom-freq} \) are layered into a volume of \( T \times 3 \times 2 \). Separate CNNs are employed to learn local shift-invariant features from each of these volumes as shown in Figure 1. A max pooling operation is performed after every CNN layer in time and frequency axes reducing the final dimension of both the feature classes to \( 5 \times 1 \times N \), where \( N \) is the number of filters in the last CNN layer. We use a receptive field of \( 3 \times 3 \) for all CNNs. The feature maps from the individual CNNs are merged using an elementwise multiplication operation and fed to bi-directional gated recurrent unit (GRU) layers followed by fully-connected time distributed dense layers. The output layer consists of a maxout dense layer [13] with sigmoid activation function.

Batch normalization [14] was employed for all the CNN layers. The CBRNN was trained for a maximum of 500 epochs, using Adam optimizer (with the parameters proposed in the original paper) [15], and mean squared error objective.

C. Data augmentation and domain adaptation

In order to increase the generalization and robustness of our classifier, we perform data augmentation using the blocks mixing implementation of [19]. The features of every training file are mixed with the features of another random training file. The mixing of \( \text{dom-freq} \) of two files is done by concatenation, this extends the feature dimension to \( T \times 6 \times 2 \). In the case of \( \text{mbe} \), the maximum value for each time and frequency bin is used, thereby keeping the input dimension unchanged. The network is trained with the augmented data along with the original features. This doubles the training data size. The label for the augmented data is set to be absent only if the bird call is absent in both the random files, otherwise the label is set to present.

In the BAD challenge, since the evaluation data is from an entirely different location, the performance of the classifier on it may be poor. In order to teach the classifier what it can expect, we propose a novel approach for domain adaptation called test mixing. We perform this by exposing the network to test data by selectively mixing it with training data. Since we do not have the labels of test data, we cannot mix every training recording with a random test recording. Hence, we perform the mixing only on training recordings where bird call is present (positive label). This way no matter what content the test recording has, the training label will remain positive after mixing. Ideally, we can mix every training recording with each of the test recordings, but we limit ourselves to mixing each training recording with just one test recording. Thereby we double the amount of training data for the positive class. In future, a similar augmentation method will have to be devised.
for the negative cases, so that the classifier is equally exposed to test data ambiance for both the classes.

We submitted another method [20] which came second in the BAD challenge. The proposed method differs from [20] in terms of using a harmonic specific feature (dom-freq), a network supporting multiple feature classes, max pooling operation in time axis and processing the feature map from CNNs using bi-directional GRU. Additionally, we also propose using data augmentation and domain adaptation to generalize our method.

III. EVALUATION

A. Datasets and metrics

The bird audio detection challenge [5] provided a development and an evaluation set. These data came from three separate datasets: i) field (freefield1010), ii) crowd-sourced (warblr), and iii) remote monitored (chernobyl). The development set comprised of freefield1010 and warblr only. The evaluation (challenge) set comprised of data unseen in development, predominantly coming from the chernobyl dataset.

Recordings in both the sets were 10 seconds long, single channel, and sampled at 44.1 kHz. The labels for the development set were binary, i.e. bird call(s) present or absent. The development set consisted of 15690 recordings in total and was distributed as presented in Table I. The evaluation set consisted of 8620 audio recordings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRD AUDIO DETECTION CHALLENGE [5] DEVELOPMENT SET STATISTICS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freefield1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>warblr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the development set, we randomly generated five cross-validation (CV) splits of 60% training, 20% validation, and 20% testing such that each split had equal distribution of classes. All development set results in future are the average performance on this five-fold CV split.

For the challenge submission, the CBRNN is trained on three CV splits of 80% training and 20% validation of development set, with equal distribution of classes in each split. For each of the CV splits, the trained CBRNN is evaluated on the unseen test set, and the average of the three outputs is submitted as the final result.

The output of the BAD method is evaluated from the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) using the AUC measurement [21].

B. Evaluation procedure

For the estimation of the hyper-parameters of the CBRNN, we experimented with one to four layers each of CNN, RNN, and FC. The number of units for each of these layers were varied in the set of \{4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128\}. The same dropout rate was used for all layers and varied in the set of \{0.25, 0.50, 0.75\}. The parameters were decided based on the best AUC score on five CVs of the development set, using the mbe and dom-freq features. The best configuration with least number of weights had two layers of CNNs with eight filters each, one RNN layer with eight units and an FC with eight units. Figure 1 shows the configuration and the feature map dimensions of the neural network. This configuration had only 2,600 weights. In terms of AUC score, configurations of CBRNN having up to 500,000 weights did not show any significant improvement over using 2,600 weights.

The best CBRNN configuration was seen to generalize well with a dropout of 0.75 and was seen to overfit for 0.25 and 0.50. The overfitting was observed from the training and validation AUC score plot with respect to training epochs. On employing early stopping, we control this overfitting at different drop out rates and achieve a comparable AUC on the development set.

Similar hyper-parameter experiments were done for the mbe and dom-freq features individually, and the same CBRNN configuration was seen to be one of the top performers on the development set with over 95% AUC for mbe and around 87% for dom-freq. This considerable difference can be accounted for the fact that mbe can represent both harmonic and non-harmonic structure of a bird call, whereas dom-freq in itself cannot completely justify for the non-harmonic structure. Thus we only report and analyze the results of mbe individually and along with dom-freq in the rest of the paper.

Initially, a study was carried out to extract features in smaller frequency bands motivated from the fact that the fundamental frequency of bird calls are in the range of 3-5 kHz [22]. The mbe and dom-freq features were extracted in the extended band of 3-8 kHz to accommodate the higher order harmonics along with the fundamental frequency. The CBRNN with the band-limited features achieved a best AUC score of 89% on the development set. Particularly, the number of false positives (FP) had increased, i.e. a number of recordings were wrongly flagged to have a bird call. This shows that in comparison to using band-limited features, the network is learning useful information of bird call being absent from the full band features.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average validation scores for the challenge submission set and their corresponding unseen test data scores for different dropout rates are presented in Table II. For the results without data augmentation, we see that across the feature classes and the dropout rates, the validation scores are comparable (≈ 95 %) and the test scores are seen to vary about 3.5% across the features (highest of 87.2 % and lowest of 83.7 %).

To obtain a general insight on the significance of this 3.5% we went through the results of the validation data. We thresholded the posterior probability of final maxout layer using a value of 0.5, i.e. a posterior probability higher than 0.5 signified that a bird call was present and otherwise absent. Among the 3138 validation recordings, there were 377 recordings classified wrongly. 242 of these were FP according to the ground truth. Since listening to all the wrongly classified recordings classified wrongly.
In addition to these, the combination of both blocks and test mixing together was tried and was seen to perform poorly in comparison to no data augmentation on test data. It achieved an AUC of 80.3% with 0.5 dropout.

A. BAD challenge results

The results of the data augmentation and domain adaptation are presented in Table II. The general observation is that the proposed domain adaptation (test mixing) gives consistently better performance than the data augmentation method (blocks mixing). Another observation on how different the test data is with respect to training data can be noticed from the validation scores of test mixing. We see that they are consistently smaller than the validation scores without domain adaptation. In addition to these, the combination of both blocks and test mixing together was tried and was seen to perform poorly in comparison to no data augmentation on test data. It achieved an AUC of 80.3% with 0.5 dropout.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>No data augmentation</th>
<th>Blocks mixing</th>
<th>Test mixing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dropout</td>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mbe</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mbe + dom-freq</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, with respect to features, ours was the only submission which experimented with a harmonic specific feature (dom-freq).

V. CONCLUSION

A stacked convolutional and bidirectional recurrent neural network architecture (CBRNN) was proposed for bird audio detection task. Two kinds of features and their combination were studied and the best result on test data was achieved using the log mel-band energy feature. The proposed novel domain adaptation was shown to consistently perform better than having no adaptation. The data augmentation method studied was not helpful and gave comparable results as without augmentation. The proposed method achieved an area under curve measure of 88.1% on the unseen evaluation data, and 95.5% on the development data.
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