

ALGORITHMS AND STRUCTURES FOR SOURCE SEPARATION BASED ON THE CONSTANT MODULUS PROPERTY*

J.R. Cerquides, J.A. Fernández-Rubio

Signal Theory and Communications Department, Polytechnic University of Catalonia
 Módulo D5, Campus Nord UPC, C/Gran Capitán s/n, 08034 Barcelona, SPAIN
 Tel.:+34-3-4015938, Fax:+34-3-4016447
 E-mail: ramon@tsc.upc.es

ABSTRACT

We propose two structures and their associated algorithms designed to solve the blind source separation problem in the presence of noise and interferences. Both structures exploit the non convexity of the Constant Modulus cost function, finding its multiple local minima. A convergence analysis shows that both schemes achieve the desired solution, separately extracting the sources of interest while rejecting noise and interferences, provided that they do not share the constant modulus property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spatial Domain Multiplexing Access (SDMA) techniques may theoretically allow two or more users in the same cell to simultaneously employ the same frequency band and temporal slot, provided that they are not closely enough. This fact would imply an enormous increase of system capacity, specially in the mobile communications field. To accomplish this objective we need to equip the base station with the ability of generating spatial diversity, which implies to substitute the antenna by an array of sensors conveniently distributed. The problem we address here is how to separately extract the incoming signals, knowing that they share both time and frequency band.

To do this we propose two architectures, serial and parallel. Both schemes try to exploit the non convexity of the constant modulus cost function defined in [1,2]. The proposed structures are two approaches to the problem of finding the minima of the Constant Modulus cost function.

The paper is organised as follows: in section 2 we review some interesting results about the nature of the minima of the Constant Modulus cost function. Sections 3 and 4 describe the proposed structures and its associated algorithms. A brief convergence analysis shows that both structures achieve the desired signal extraction. In section 5 we test the behaviour of the proposed algorithms in an

hostile environment, showing the superior performance of the parallel version.

2. THE CONSTANT MODULUS ARRAY

The Constant Modulus Array is a beamforming technique which exploits the constant envelope property exhibited by many communications signals. The algorithm uses an stochastic gradient technique to minimise a non convex cost function of the weights, $J(\mathbf{w})$ defined as:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \left(|y[n]|^2 - 1 \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

where $y[n]$ is the array output, obtained as a linear combination of the input signal vector $\mathbf{x}[n]$,

$$y[n] = \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{x}[n] \quad (2)$$

As stated before, $J(\mathbf{w})$ is not a convex function. Thus, it is possible to find signal scenarios which lead to the presence of several local minima of the cost function. Some results about the nature of $J(\mathbf{w})$ have been obtained by the analysis performed by Treichler et al. in restrictive signal environments[3,4,5]. In a general framework with M signals arriving together at an array with $N > M$ elements it is difficult to extract conclusions about the number of singular points and its nature. However, from the analysis detailed in [6] we have found that the function $J(\mathbf{w})$ exhibits, at least, as many minima as the number of incoming signals with kurtosis¹ unity and moderate to high SNR². Furthermore, the weight vector associated to

¹ The kurtosis of a signal $s[n]$ is defined as

$$k_s = \frac{E\{s[n]^4\}}{E\{s[n]^2\}^2}$$

² Let \mathbf{d}_i the generalized steering vector of the i -th source, $s_i[n]$, and \mathbf{R}_{xx} the correlation matrix of the incoming data, $\mathbf{R}_{xx} = E\{\mathbf{x}[n]\mathbf{x}^H[n]\}$. The moderate to high SNR condition is accomplished if

$$\frac{|\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{d}_i|^2 E\{s_i[n]^2\}}{\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{R}_{xx} \mathbf{w} - |\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{d}_i|^2 E\{s_i[n]^2\}} > 10$$

where $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{-1} \mathbf{d}_i$ is the Wiener solution for the i -th source.

* This work has been partially supported by the National Research Plan of Spain CICYT, under Grant TIC-95-1022-C05-01




Figure 2– Scheme of the parallel structure

Imposing the additional condition:

$$\mathbf{v}_i^H \mathbf{c}_i = 1 \quad \forall i = 1..M \quad (11)$$

it is possible to simplify the solution of the system of M equations that results when we substitute (9) into (10). This solution takes the following form:

$$\mathbf{y}[n] = (\mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{C})^\# \mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{x}[n] \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{y}[n]$ is the $M \times 1$ output vector,

$$\mathbf{y}[n] = [y_1[n] \quad y_2[n] \quad \dots \quad y_M[n]]^T \quad (13)$$

\mathbf{V} is an $N \times M$ matrix containing information about the beamformers,

$$\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{v}_M] \quad (14)$$

\mathbf{C} is another $N \times M$ matrix containing information about the cancellers,

$$\mathbf{C} = [\mathbf{c}_1 \quad \mathbf{c}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{c}_M] \quad (15)$$

and the superscript $\#$ denotes the pseudoinverse. As the values of \mathbf{v}_i and \mathbf{c}_i will be continuously changing we cannot always guarantee the existence of the inverse of $\mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{C}$, so we use its pseudoinverse instead. However, in practice, we have not found problems related with the inversion of this matrix. Let's describe now the algorithm employed to update both beamformer and canceller weights.

4.2 Algorithm

For each new sample $\mathbf{x}[n]$ we now propose the following steps:

1. Compute $\mathbf{y}[n]$ using equation (12).
2. Compute $\mathbf{z}_i[n]$, $i=1..M$, using equation (9).
3. Update \mathbf{v}_i , $i=1..M$ following a normalised CM adaptation equation (as described in eq. (3)).
4. Update \mathbf{c}_i , $i=1..M$ using:

$$\mathbf{c}_i[n+1] = (1 - \mu_c) \mathbf{c}_i[n] + \mu_c \frac{\mathbf{x}[n]}{y_i[n]} \quad (16)$$

5. Normalise \mathbf{c}_i to verify eq. (11):

$$\mathbf{c}_i[n+1] = \frac{\mathbf{c}_i[n+1]}{\mathbf{v}_i^H[n+1] \mathbf{c}_i[n+1]} \quad (17)$$

6. Back to step 1.

4.3 Convergence properties

As all the operations performed by the elements of the structure are linear, it is possible to describe the relation between $\mathbf{x}[n]$ and $\mathbf{z}_i[n]$ by means of a matrix \mathbf{M}_i . Thus,

$$\mathbf{z}_i[n] = \mathbf{M}_i \mathbf{x}[n] \quad (18)$$

As before we can assume, without loss of generality, that the first beamformer will converge when vector \mathbf{v}_1 verifies:

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{R}_{xx} \mathbf{M}_1^H \mathbf{v}_1 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{d}_1 \quad (19)$$

Rearranging terms in eq. (9) it is possible to relate the first and j -th path through:

$$\mathbf{z}_j[n] - \mathbf{c}_j y_j[n] = \mathbf{z}_1[n] - \mathbf{c}_1 y_1[n] \quad (20)$$

Computing now $E\{\mathbf{z}_i[n] s_1^*[n]\}$ and substituting \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j by its final values (eq. 7), we get the following equation, which must be accomplished when convergence is attained:

$$\mathbf{M}_j \mathbf{d}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{M}_j \mathbf{R}_{xx} \mathbf{M}_j^H \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{v}_j^H \mathbf{M}_j}{\mathbf{v}_j^H \mathbf{M}_j \mathbf{R}_{xx} \mathbf{M}_j^H \mathbf{v}_j} \mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{0} \quad (21)$$

which has only a valid solution, given by:

$$\mathbf{M}_j \mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{0} \quad (22)$$

So, signal $s_1[n]$ is extracted by the first channel and rejected by the rest. Applying iteratively these results to the remaining $M-1$ dimensional problem we can conclude that the final state of the structure will be reached when the M channels converge to the separate extraction of the M incoming SOIs.

5. SIMULATION

To compare the behaviour of the serial and parallel versions of the Multiple Constant Modulus Algorithm (MCMA) we have chosen the environment shown in table 1, where 4 signals arrive at a 6 sensor, linear, equally spaced array (separation= $\lambda/2$). Three of them are SOIs and the fourth signal is a strong interference.

Signal	Type of signal	Input SNR	Angle of arrival
#1	4-PSK	5 dB	-30°
#2	4-PSK	5 dB	20°
#3	4-PSK	5 dB	40°
#4	Tone ($f=0.1$)	20 dB	-10°

Table I – Description of the environment employed in the simulation.

The normalised step-size parameters $\mu_b=0.01$, $\mu_c=0.001$ were chosen. Figure 3 shows the evolution of the SINR ratio for both structures in the three channels.




Figure 3 – Comparison of the evolution of the output SINR

The comparison offers no doubts. The parallel structure is about ten times faster than its serial version. This enormous improvement in the convergence speed compensates the amount of computational load added to the algorithm. But there is not only this difference between both schemes. Although it cannot be appraised in the figure, the output SINR offered by the parallel algorithm is slightly better. And there is also an improvement in the rejection of co-channel interferences. In a forthcoming paper we will show other interesting features of the parallel structure: its enhanced resolution and the capability of extract and follow the original sources when the signal environment is nonstationary.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Two structures based on the multiple minima property of the Constant Modulus cost function have been proposed. Both adaptive schemes are composed by CM arrays and signal cancellers, whose respective weight vectors are updated following stochastic steepest descent algorithms. A convergence analysis shows the ability of both structures to achieve the desired source separation without a great amount of computational load. Finally, simulations demonstrate that the parallel structure, where all stages work on a collaboration basis, exhibits a faster convergence and better performance than its sequential version.

7. REFERENCES

[1]Godard, D.N. "Self-Recovering equalization and carrier tracking in two-dimensional data communication

systems", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. COMM-28, pp. 1867-1875, November, 1980.

[2]Treichler, J.R., Agee, B.G., "A new approach to multipath correction of constant modulus signals", *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-31, pp. 459-472, April, 1983.

[3]Treichler, J.R., Larimore, M.G., "Convergent behavior of the constant modulus algorithm", *Proceedings of the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, pp. 13-16, Boston, 1983

[4]Smith, J.O., Friedlander, B., "Global Convergence of the Constant Modulus Algorithm", *Proceedings of the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, pp. 30.5.1-30.5.4, Tampa, 1985.

[5]Agee, B.G., "Convergent behavior of modulus restoring adaptive arrays in Gaussian interference environments", *Proceedings of the Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, Pacific Grove, November 1988.

[6] Cerquides, J.R., *Contribución a la conformación ciega de haz en comunicaciones*, Ph. D., Appendix A, Polytechnic University of Catalonia, Barcelona, May, 1996.

[7] Shynk, J.J., Gooch, R.P., "Convergence properties of the Multistage CMA Adaptive Beamformer", *Proceedings of the Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, Pacific Grove, November 1993.