RANGE-DOPPLER RADAR TARGET DETECTION USING DENOISING WITHIN THE COMPRESSIVE SENSING FRAMEWORK

R. Akin Sevimli, Mohammad Tofighi, A. Enis Cetin

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey
{sevimli, tofighi}@ee.bilkent.edu.tr, cetin@bilkent.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

Compressive sensing (CS) idea enables the reconstruction of a sparse signal from a small set of measurements. CS approach has applications in many practical areas. One of the areas is radar systems. In this article, the radar ambiguity function is denoised within the CS framework. A new denoising method on the projection onto the epigraph set of the convex function is also developed for this purpose. This approach is compared to the other CS reconstruction algorithms. Experimental results are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Compressive Sensing (CS) is a relatively recent approach used in various signal processing applications [1], [2], [3]. In [4], CS is applied to pulse compression, radar imaging and DoA estimation. One of the application areas is radar target detection using the ambiguity function [5]. Radar signal processing is suitable for CS based denoising because of inherently sparse nature of signals in range-Doppler domain [6], [7]. In many practical cases, the ambiguity function turns out to be very noisy. In this paper, the radar ambiguity function is denoised by using the CS framework. In Section 2, the CS framework is reviewed. In Section 3, the denoising solution is presented. In Section 4, simulation examples are presented.

2. COMPRESSIVE SENSING

CS framework is briefly reviewed below. Suppose that we have a one-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{v} \) with length \( N \). Any vector in \( N \times 1 \) dimensions can be constructed using the a basis matrix, \( \Psi = [\psi_1|\psi_2|...|\psi_N] \). The vector \( \mathbf{v} \) can be formed as follows [8]:

\[
\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i \psi_i \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{v} = \Psi \mathbf{s}.
\]  

If it is enough to represent the vector \( \mathbf{v} \) with \( K << N \) basis vectors, the signal is called \( K \)-sparse. In this case, measurements obtained by random projections can be sufficient to reconstruct the original signal.

\[
\mathbf{y} = \Phi \mathbf{v},
\]

where \( \Phi \) is a \( M \times N \) measurement matrix containing zero mean random numbers [2] and \( \mathbf{y} \) represents the measurements. As a result, \( K \)-sparse vector \( \mathbf{y} \) is expressed as follows:

\[
\mathbf{y} = \Theta \mathbf{s} = \Phi \psi \mathbf{s},
\]

where \( \Theta = \Phi \psi \) is a matrix of size \( M \times N \). The vector \( \mathbf{v} \) can be reconstructed using vector \( \mathbf{y} \) provided that \( K < M < N \). This problem can be solved as follows.

\[
\hat{s} = \min \| \mathbf{s} \|_1 \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathbf{y} = \Theta \mathbf{s}.
\]

Numerical algorithms were developed for this optimization problem. In addition, many other related optimization techniques are posed to solve this problem. It is shown that minimizing the \( \ell_1 \) norm forces small amplitude coefficients of \( \mathbf{s} \) vector to zero and it leads to a sparse solution. This paper uses the CS framework to denoise the Ambiguity Function (AF) used in range-Doppler radar target detection.

Transform domain noise reduction and filtering are widely used in practice. In this article, the AF is denoised using the measurement vector, \( \mathbf{y} \). During the reconstruction process, small amplitude AF values are forced to zero. As a result, denoising is achieved. This leads to better target detection results in radar signal processing.

3. AMBIGUITY FUNCTION AND RANGE-DOPPLER TARGET DETECTION

Ambiguity function (AF) is generally used to determine similarities between two signals [9]. In radar signal processing,
The ambiguity function is a two-dimensional equation defined in range-Doppler plane [10]. The position and velocity of targets in the environment can be determined from this equation. The AF is defined as follows:

$$\xi_l[p, p] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} s_{\text{sur}}[i] s_{\text{ref}}^*[i - l] e^{-j2\pi ip/N},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $s_{\text{sur}}[i]$ and $s_{\text{ref}}[i-l]$ represent surveillance and reference signals, respectively. The index $l$ is the range axis and $p$ represents the Doppler axis. Let $b_l[i] = s_{\text{sur}}[i] s_{\text{ref}}^*[i - l]$. When $b_l[i]$ is inserted to AF, we obtain the following equation:

$$\xi_l[p, p] = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} b_l[i] e^{-j2\pi ip/N},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

for $l = 0, 1, ..., L, \ p = 0, 1, ..., N - 1$.

Ambiguity Function can be calculated by computing the FT of $b_l[i]$ using the FFT algorithm. Targets form peaks in 3-D range-Doppler map as shown in Fig. 1. In Figures 2 and 3, Doppler frequencies and bistatic ranges of 6 targets are shown. In this example, the sampling frequency, $f_s$, and integration time are $2 \times 10^5$ Hz and 1 sec., respectively. As a result, the length of surveillance and reference signal is $2 \times 10^5$. This discrete-time signal $s$ decimated in time and the length of signals is reduced to $N = 4096$. After this point, Doppler frequency axis is focused between $-500$ and $500$ Hz to show targets clearly. In Figures 1-3, the $L = 150$ and $p = -500, ..., 500$ are shown.

The AF is a sparse function of $l$ and $p$. For instance, there are 6 targets with different velocities in Fig. 1. There is no other important values other than these 6 range-Doppler target locations. Because of this reason, AF has an ideal structure for compressive sensing based denoising. Suppose that our measurement matrix, $\Theta$ is an $M \times N$ matrix. In this case, the compressed measurements can be calculated for each row of

*Fig. 1: 3-D range-Doppler frequency graph obtained using AF computed using FFT as in Equation 5.*

the AF as follows:

$$y_l = \Theta \xi_l,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

for $l = 0, 1, ..., L$.

where the vector, $\xi_l$ is the $l$-th row of $\xi(l,p)$ and its size is $N = 4096$, which is also the size of FFT in (5) and (6); the vector $y_l$ is of size $M$, which is much smaller than $N$ because the measurement matrix $\Theta$ is an $M \times N$. In this article, $M$ is approximately selected as $M = 400 \simeq 0.1 \times N$ and the CS problem is posed as reconstruction of $\xi(l,p)$ from $y_l$ vectors. Since sparsity assumption is used, denoising is also achieved during the CS reconstruction.

In this paper, various optimization algorithms are used for denoising. These are Basis Pursuit (BP) [11], Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) [12], Compressive Sampling Matched Pursuit (CoSAMP) [13], and Projections onto Epigraph Set of a Convex cost function (PES-$\ell_1$) based denoising [14].

### 3.1. Basis Pursuit (BP)

Basis Pursuit (BP) tries to find signal representations with convex optimization. Each measurement vector, $y_l$ is used in the following minimization problem:

$$\min \| y_l - \Theta \xi_l \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \xi_l \|_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\lambda$ is the regularization parameter determining the sparsity level of the solution. The above CS reconstruction prob-
lem is solved for each row of the AF function \( l = 0, 1, \ldots, L = 150 \).

3.2. Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP)

Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) is a greedy algorithm that also determines a sparse solution to the CS problem. It is an extension of the Matching Pursuit (MP) algorithm. Advantages of this algorithm are its speed and computational efficiency. It is also used at the output of the matched filter to find the strongest target [15]. To reconstruct the vector \( \xi_l \), this algorithm first tries to find which columns of \( \Theta \) contributing most to the observation vector \( \mathbf{y}_l \). During each iteration, columns of \( \Theta \) are picked and correlated with the remaining parts of \( \mathbf{y}_l \). Contribution of \( \mathbf{y}_l \) is subtracted and iterated on the residual. After \( M \) iterations, this algorithm finds a set of columns from the basis set representing the vector \( \xi_l \).

3.3. Compressive Sampling Matched Pursuit (CoSAMP)

Compressive Sampling Matched Pursuit (CoSAMP) is an iterative greedy algorithm that recovers a compressible signal from its noisy samples. It is efficient for same problems. It requires a measurement vector \( \Theta \), observation matrix \( \mathbf{y}_l \), a sample of noisy vectors \( \xi_l \) and a stopping criterion. The following Algorithm 1 is implemented to solve the CS problem:

**Algorithm 1** CoSAMP

1. **Inputs:** \( \Theta, \mathbf{y}_l, \xi_l, k, \) stopping criterion
2. **Initialize:**
   \[ r = \mathbf{y}_l, \xi^0_l = 0, k = 0, \Gamma = \emptyset \]
3. **While:** not converged
4. **Proxy:** \( \mathbf{v} = \Theta^* r \)
5. **Identify:** \( \Omega = S_D(\mathbf{v}, 2k) \)
6. **Merge:** \( T = \Omega \cup \Gamma \)
7. **Update:** \( \hat{\xi}_l = \text{argmin}_{\xi} \| \mathbf{y}_l - \Theta \xi \| \)
8. \( \Gamma = \Omega = S_D(\hat{\xi}_l, 2k) \)
9. \( \xi^{k+1}_l = P_T \hat{\xi}_l \)
10. \( r = \mathbf{y}_l - \Theta \xi^{k+1}_l \)
11. \( k = k + 1 \)
12. **End while:**
13. **Output:** \( \xi_l = \xi^k_l \)

3.4. Projections Onto Epigraph Set Of A Convex Cost Function (PES-\( \ell_1 \))

Projections Onto Epigraph Set Of A Convex Cost Function (PES-\( \ell_1 \)) is a new signal processing framework described in [16, 17]. In this new denoising method, each row of the magnitude of AF data: \( v_l[p] = |\xi_l[p]| \) is first filtered by a high-pass filter with cut-off \( \pi/4 \) (normalized angular frequency) and subtracted from the original data producing a low-pass filtered version \( v_{\text{low}}[p] \). Let the high-pass filtered version be \( v_{\text{high}}[p] \). The signal \( v_{\text{high}}[p] \) is projected onto the epigraph set of \( \ell_1 \)-norm function. The output of the projection operation \( v_{\text{out}}[p] \) is combined with the low-pass signal \( |v_{\text{low}}[l, p]| \) to obtain the denoised version of \( |\xi[l, p]| \) as discussed in [18–20]. This denoising method takes advantage of the sparse nature of data and it does not require any measurement matrix. The block diagram of the denoising structure is shown in Figure 4.

![Fig. 4: The block diagram of PES-\( \ell_1 \) algorithm.](image)

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, simulation examples using BP, OMP, CoSAMP, and PES-\( \ell_1 \) are presented and they are compared to each other. Reference and surveillance stereo FM signals are created for passive radar scenario and it contains 6 targets as summarized in Table 1. In radar signal processing, surveillance and reference signals are first passed through an LMS adaptive filter to suppress the clutters. Range-Doppler map is obtained after LMS filtering in all cases. The \( M \times N \) measurement matrix \( \Theta \) is constructed from randomly weighted Fourier coefficients in all cases as discussed in [2]. In all the examples, the \( N = 4096 \) and \( M = 400 \), respectively. For all the reconstruction methods, randomly weighted Fourier coefficients are used because the measurement matrix contains random numbers. In general, signal length and length of the compressed data are \( N = 4096 \) and \( M = 400 \), respectively. This means that a vector of size 4096 is represented by 400 and this vector is sufficient to represent each row of each AF data.

In Figure 5 (6, 7 and 8), the range-Doppler map for BP (OMP, CoSAMP and PES-\( \ell_1 \)) methods are shown, respectively. Generally, targets with higher SNR at 150 and \(-250 \) Hz are clearly detected for all of cases, but low SNR targets are not visible in Figure 5, 6 and 7. PES-\( \ell_1 \) algorithm is the only method that can detect all targets shown in Figure 8. In Figure 9, the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves
for all the methods are shown. The ROC curve is plotted by using the Constant False Alarm Rate (CFAR) algorithm with different thresholds. The parameters of the CFAR algorithm are training cell size=10, guard cell size=10 and the probability false alarm Pfα=0.1. As can be seen from Figure 9, PES-$\ell_1$ outperforms other reconstruction algorithms. In Figure 9, FFT based method computed using Equation 5 and 6 denotes the observed noisy data shown in Figure 1. In addition, another set of measures used in denoising is the PSNR and SNR. PSNR and SNR values are calculated by using range-Doppler map and presented in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, PES-$\ell_1$ has higher PSNR and SNR values with 48.71 dB and 9.16 dB, respectively. Some algorithms, such as OMP have even less PSNR and SNR values than FFT method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>SNR (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoSAMP</td>
<td>48.65</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES-$\ell_1$</td>
<td>48.71</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMP</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>44.83</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFT</td>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, radar ambiguity function used in a passive bistatic radar scenario is denoised using various CS reconstruction algorithms (BP, OMP, CoSAMP and PES-$\ell_1$). It is experimentally observed that CS based denoising removes noise and helps the detection of process of targets. The most successful denoising results are obtained using the PES-$\ell_1$ method.
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