Abstract—Bearing faults are by far the biggest single source of motor failures. Both fast Fourier (frequency based) and wavelet (time-scale based) transforms are used commonly in analyzing raw vibration or current data to detect bearing faults. A hybrid method, Empirical Wavelet Transform (EWT), is used in this study to provide better accuracy in detecting faults from bearing vibration data. In the proposed method, the raw vibration data is processed by fast Fourier transform. Then, the Fourier spectrum of the vibration signal is divided into segments adaptively with each segment containing part of the frequency band. Next, the wavelet transform is applied to all segments. Finally, inverse Fourier transform is utilized to obtain time domain signal with the frequency band of interest from EWT coefficients to detect bearing faults. The bearing fault related segments are identified by comparing rms values of healthy bearing vibration signal segments with the same segments of faulty bearing. The main advantage of the proposed method is the possibility of extracting the segments of interest from the original vibration data for determining both fault type and severity.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Rolling element bearings are used widely in induction motor design and their failure is the single biggest cause for expensive production shutdowns in manufacturing industry [1]. Considering the heavy reliance on induction machines by the industry, there is a great amount of research effort directed towards monitoring of bearing health. Motor vibration and motor current analysis are commonly used noninvasive methods in bearing condition monitoring [2]. Time-domain [3], frequency-domain [8-11], enhanced frequency [12-15], and time-scale analysis [16-21] are four main areas where signal processing techniques are used in extracting information related to the bearing condition.

The use of Empirical Wavelet Transform (EWT) is proposed in this study to provide means for decomposing time domain vibration signal into segments with different frequency bandwidths. The fault related segments are determined by comparing the energy levels of healthy bearing vibration signal segments with the energy levels of faulty bearing segments. After determining the fault related segments, selected segments can be used to reconstruct the signal. Then, the reconstructed signal can be fed to an adaptive 1D Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) classifier for feature extraction and more accurate pattern recognition with a simple structure [22-23].

II. BEARING FAULTS

Bearing faults are mechanical faults accounting for most motor failures. Table I presents the surveys conducted by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), which surveyed 6312 motors [24], and the survey conducted by the Motor Reliability Working Group of the IEEE-IAS, which surveyed 1141 motors [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failed Component</th>
<th>Percentage Failures (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IEE-IAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearings Related</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windings Related</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Related</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is obvious from the table that bearing related problems are the most common causes of motor failures.

Since bearing faults are the least expensive fault type to fix and at the same time the most difficult to detect, considerable amount of research is directed in this area. Typically, faulty bearings create characteristic frequencies in four different zones in the frequency spectrum: shaft speed zone, bearing defect frequency zone, bearing natural resonances zone, and high frequency zone [27].

The characteristic frequencies in the bearing defect frequency zone are determined from bearing geometry and shaft speed. The geometry of a typical ball bearing is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1, Ball bearing geometry
The characteristic vibration frequencies are calculated by the following equations [28].

The ball pass frequency outer (ring), $BPFO$, is given by

$$BPFO = \frac{n}{2} \cdot frm \left(1 - \frac{BD}{PD} \cos \phi \right)$$

(1)

where the number of balls is $n$, the rotor speed in revolutions per second is $frm$, and the contact angle (zero for ball bearings) is $\phi$.

The ball pass frequency inner, $BPFI$, is given by

$$BPFI = \frac{n}{2} \cdot frm \left(1 + \frac{BD}{PD} \cos \phi \right)$$

(2)

The ball spin frequency, $BSF$, is given by

$$BSF = \frac{PD}{2BD} \cdot frm \left(1 - \left(\frac{BD}{PD}\right)^2 \cos^2 \phi \right)$$

(3)

The initial stage of a bearing fault is indicated by energy in both zones I and IV where the ladder zone contains high frequency components over 20 kHz. In the second stage of the fault, zone III with bearing natural frequencies will have some energy with increased energy levels in zone IV. The third stage is identified with bearing defect related frequencies becoming apparent in zone II and increased energy levels in other three zones. In the final stage, the bearing defect frequencies become more pronounced and their harmonics also show up in the frequency spectrum. The frequency content for all four stages of the bearing failure is depicted in figure 2.

This paper focuses on the detection of bearing fault frequencies in zone II and zone III for the final two stages of a bearing fault.

III. EMPIRICAL WAVELET TRANSFORM

In EWT, a signal is processed by fast Fourier transform (FFT) and the Fourier spectrum of the signal is divided into segments adaptively. Then, the scaling and wavelet functions are applied to the segments of interest.

$$X(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} x(n)e^{-i nw}$$

(4)

where $w$ is defined in $[0, \pi]$.

Then, the spectrum is divided into $N$ successive parts and $w_l$ ($l=1, 2, \ldots, N$) indicates the boundaries of the segment number. The empirical scaling, $\varnothing_l$, and wavelet, $\psi_l$, functions are defined by equations 5 and 6 respectively.

$$\varnothing_l(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |w| \leq (1 - \gamma)w_l \\ \\ \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - 2\gamma w_l \right) \left(|w| - (1 - \gamma)w_l \right), & \text{if } (1 - \gamma)w_l \leq |w| \leq (1 + \gamma)w_l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(5)

$$\psi_l(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (1 + \gamma)w_l \leq |w| \leq (1 - \gamma)w_{l+1} \\ \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 + 2\gamma w_{l+1} \right) \left(|w| - (1 - \gamma)w_{l+1} \right), & \text{if } (1 - \gamma)w_{l+1} \leq |w| \leq (1 + \gamma)w_{l+1} \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 + 2\gamma w_l \right) \left(|w| - (1 - \gamma)w_l \right), & \text{if } (1 - \gamma)w_l \leq |w| \leq (1 + \gamma)w_l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(6)

The detail and approximation coefficients are then given by

$$W_f(l, t) = \langle f, \psi_l \rangle = F^{-1} [f(w) \psi_l(w)]$$

(7)

and

$$W_f(0, t) = \langle f, \varnothing_l \rangle = F^{-1} [f(w) \varnothing_l(w)]$$

(8)

where $F^{-1}$ denotes inverse Fourier transform. The empirical mode signal $f(t)$ of the vibration signal can be found by

$$f_0(t) = W_f(0, t) * \varnothing_l(t)$$

(9)

and

$$f_k(t) = W_f(k, t) * \psi_k(t)$$

(10)

The original vibration signal is then can be obtained by

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} f_k(t)$$

(11)
IV. DATA PROCESSING

IMS bearing dataset from NASA Prognostic Data Repository is used to test the performance of the proposed method [29]. In the test setup, the shaft is driven by a belt coupled to a motor at constant speed of 2000 rpm throughout the data collection process. The debris collected by magnetic plug is used to indicate the degradation in bearing health. In this case, the vibration data were collected at sampling rate of 20 kHz. The sampling was continuous but records of 20,480 data points were stored in a file once in every 5 or 10 minutes and stored in a file. Inner race, outer race, and roller element defects occurred in different bearings during data collection.

The empirical wavelet transform is applied to the data collected from accelerometer mounted on bearing 4 which develops an outer race defect. Rexnord ZA-2115 double row bearings with 16 rollers in each row are used in the test rig. Rexnord ZA-2115 double row bearings have a pitch diameter of 2.815 in., roller diameter of 0.331 in., and a tapered contact angle of 15.17°. Then, the equation 1 would yield the outer race fundamental vibration frequency of 236 Hz at rotational speed of 2000 rpm.

Here, the Fourier spectrum of the signal is split into bands adaptively based on energy content. EWT boundaries for a faulty bearing are depicted in figure 3.

After applying EWT and inverse Fourier transform, the contribution of each segment to the original time domain signal are determined. Calculating rms values for each segment and comparing rms values for faulty and healthy segments, the segments of interest can be selected.

Since we are interested only in two zones (II and III), two segments with biggest energy difference are selected from each zone. Segments three and five were selected for zone I whereas segments twentytwo and twentythree are chosen for zone III. The corresponding frequency bands are 600-734 Hz, 1114-1191 Hz, 4485-4759 Hz, and 4759-4961 Hz respectively. The contribution of segment five (1114-1191 Hz) in time domain for both cases are depicted in figure 4.

Similarly, contribution of segment twentytwo (4485-4759 Hz) in time domain for both cases are depicted in figure 6.
The final stage of the analysis involves taking inverse Fourier transform of the empirical wavelet coefficients for the segments of the interest and calculating rms values of resulting signals to detect bearing faults. The rms values of segments three, five, twentytwo, and twentythree are given in table 2 for both healthy and faulty bearing cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment / Zone</th>
<th>RMS Values</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment 3 / Zone II</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0074, Faulty: 0.0138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 5 / Zone II</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0054, Faulty: 0.0126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 22 / Zone III</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0172, Faulty: 0.0803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 23 / Zone III</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0131, Faulty: 0.0478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is increase in energy levels in all segments for the faulty case compared to the energy levels of the healthy case. Usually about 50% increase in energy levels of healthy baseline data can signal fault conditions. The considerable amount of increase in rms values for segments 22 and 23 indicate advanced stages of fault detected.

V. CONCLUSION

Empirical Wavelet Transform (EWT), is used in this study to provide better accuracy in detecting faults from raw vibration data. The main advantage of the proposed method is the possibility of extracting only the segments of interest from the original vibration signal. In the proposed method, the raw vibration data is processed by fast Fourier transform for both faulty and healthy bearings. Then, the Fourier spectrum of the vibration signal is divided into segments adaptively. Segments three, five, twentytwo and twentythree are selected for analysis since they correspond to zone II and zone III frequencies. Next, the wavelet transform is applied to all segments of interest and inverse Fourier transform is utilized to obtain time domain contributions of those segments. Finally, rms values of time domain signals are computed to find increases due to bearing faults. The results showed that the fault condition can be detected by the proposed method. Furthermore, the rms values of the selected segments can be used as features for neural networks or time domain components of segments may be fed directly to 1D CNN for detection and classification.

The segment sizes and locations may depend on both the fault type and the bearing geometry. The optimal set of segments and segment sizes may be determined if bearing geometry is available. Our future work will focus on determining such a set of segments with fixed sizes.
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### Table 2 – Rms values of selected segments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment / Zone</th>
<th>RMS Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment 3 / Zone II</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0074, Faulty: 0.0138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 5 / Zone II</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0054, Faulty: 0.0126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 22 / Zone III</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0172, Faulty: 0.0803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 23 / Zone III</td>
<td>Healthy: 0.0131, Faulty: 0.0478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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