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Abstract—This paper presents a CNN-based algorithm for detecting package edges in a scene represented with a distance map (range image), trained on a custom dataset of packaging scenarios. The proposed algorithm represents the basis for package recognition for automatic trailer loading/unloading. The main focus of this paper is designing a semantic segmentation CNN model capable of detecting different types of package edges in a distance map containing distance errors characteristic of Time-of-Flight (ToF) scanning, and differentiating box edges from edges belonging to other types of packaging objects (bags, irregular objects, etc.). The proposed CNN is optimized for training with a limited number of samples containing heavily imbalanced classes. Generating a binary mask of edges with 1-pixel thickness from the probability maps outputted from the CNN is achieved through a custom non-maximum suppression-based edge thinning algorithm. The proposed algorithm shows promising results in detecting box edges.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Automating the process of loading and unloading of transported goods will bring a significant reduction in financial expenses the transport industry is facing due to damaged goods. Successful partial or complete process automation will lead to improvements in the speed and accuracy of loading and unloading of transported goods. Automating package recognition is the most significant initial step towards process automation, as paper boxes of various sizes and materials are the most common types of packaging. The main steps in package recognition are precise detection and localization of packages, and successfully differentiating packages from other types of packaging, such as bags and irregular objects (cylindrical packaging etc.).

All packages share the same representation in distance maps or depth images – a package is represented as a box consisting of adjacent perpendicular planar sides marked with a gradual change in distance/depth represented with gray levels. ToF scanning is susceptible to distance measurement errors such as irregularly erroneous distance measurements of highly reflective surfaces, and different measurement values for adjacent surfaces with a sharp difference in color. Furthermore, package sides may contain irregularities due to physical damage during transport.

Edges mark the borders of two surfaces at different depth, or two surfaces with a different direction or rate of change in gray levels. Therefore, edge detection would be the most reliable way of precise localization of boxes. Edge detection as a means of package recognition should enable detection of edges that belong to packages while disregarding edges belonging to different objects and artificial edges within package sides resulting from depth measurement errors, or physical deformation of package shape. Package edges represent long, continuous straight lines separating two areas with different direction and rate of change of surface depth; as opposed to edges of other types of packaging items that are short, broken and less emphasized. Objects with irregular uneven surfaces (e.g. bags) contain a large number of edges within the object surface. Conventional DSP (Digital Signal Processing) methods for edge detection (e.g. Canny [1]) are unable to make a distinction between different types of edges. Furthermore, they are dependent on user-defined thresholds that cannot be generalized for different datasets and conditions. This creates the need for learning-based methods for reliable edge detection in the context of box recognition in distance maps.

Learning-based edge [2, 3, 4] and contour [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] detection algorithms designed for and tested on color photographs, which contain more edges within objects resulting from object texture, are not suitable for application on distance maps and require a large training dataset due to the model complexity. Ref. [12] presents a CNN classifying pixels of a photograph into edge and non-edge based on the local pixel environment. However, global image context is not available for the classification of a single pixel, and using a large pixel environment requires a lot of redundancy (the larger the pixel environment, the more times pixels are forwarded through the network) and thereby an excessive amount of computational time and resources, which is not allowed for an application targeting real-time performance.

The CNNs with an encoder-decoder structure are an efficient and straightforward solution for edge detection in a single feed-forward step without additional pre-processing. U-Net [13] provides state-of-the-art results in object segmentation in photographs or medical images. However, due to the large number of parameters, it requires large datasets to reach top performance. An encoder-decoder CNN with a limited number of parameters, as used mainly for medical image segmentation, would be simple enough to be trained with a limited number of samples, and simultaneously provide enough capacity to retain the crucial dataset features for correct segmentation of box edges.

The proposed algorithm presents an end-to-end trainable CNN structure for semantic segmentation of box edges from distance images with heavily imbalanced classes, followed by a custom edge thinning algorithm to generate binary edge masks with single-pixel-width edges. By precise detection of box edges and thereby localizing boxes, this algorithm represents a crucial initial step for package recognition in distance maps for automatic trailer loading/unloading.
The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. Section II provides the description of a custom dataset of packaging scenarios. Section III provides a detailed description of the proposed algorithm. Section IV covers the post-processing methods. Section V presents the results and experiments. Finally, conclusions are presented in Section VI.

II. DESCRIPTION OF DATASET AND ANNOTATIONS

A. Dataset description

A custom dataset consisting of 272 distance maps of 144x176 pixels is used for training and performance evaluation of the proposed box edge detection algorithm. An example of a distance map is shown in Fig. 1. A color photograph as a clearer visual representation of the scene in Fig. 1 is given in Fig. 2. The distance maps are obtained by averaging 20 consecutive measurements provided by a SICK Visionary-T DT infrared surface depth scanner based on ToF technology. According to the manufacturer, the distance maps obtained at a rate of 50 frames per second may contain distance errors of ±3cm for measuring distances less than 3m. During the creation of the custom dataset in a simulated trailer space, we have discovered that the distance errors are much larger than what is described in the documentation.

The dataset contains different scenes with three types of stacked packaging items: boxes, shipping bags and irregular objects (cylindrical packaging, unpackaged carpet rolls, etc.). The packaging items are arranged in one of two configurations: package walls that represent the most common scenario of carefully ordered packages, and arbitrary order that represents cases of tumbled packaging items that may occur during transportation or unloading errors. Two types of background are represented: planar floor and walls, and non-planar background where the trailer walls are fully occluded by objects of arbitrary shape. The planar background simulates most types of trailer interiors. The non-planar background materials introduce variety in the background data to reduce overfitting of machine learning algorithms on the background data, thereby causing a significant drop in model accuracy on scenes with types of trailer interiors not represented in the training dataset.

B. Distance measurement errors and effect

Several types of distance errors are observed in the dataset: rounding of inner edges, displacement of outer edges, displacement of whole box sides along the depth axis, and irregularly erroneous measurements on reflective surfaces (tape, labels). Rounding of inner edges - a result of multipath effects - has been addressed in several works [14, 15]. The closer a point is to an inner edge - the more this effect is emphasized; which causes a smooth rounding of the scanned surface. Rounding of inner edges poses a challenge in edge detection since the change in distance levels is unnoticeable in a small local environment. Proposed correction algorithms have resulted in limited success. Points on outer edges of boxes appear closer to the scanner than neighboring pixels belonging to the two box sides forming the edge. The edge displacement ranges from 20-100mm. The level of distortion (exact value of displacement) depends only on the orientation of the box - edges facing the scanner are most affected. The large outer edge displacement manifests as sharp lines with depth values significantly different from the neighboring pixels, resulting in easier detection. Irregular distance errors that are a result of reflective surfaces create sharp changes in distance levels mimicking short, jagged edges, and correct segmentation depends on the global context of the environment. Tight enclosed spaces such as trailers filled with objects contain multiple light reflection points. This results in emphasizing the distortion effects, thereby posing an additional challenge for precise object detection inside transport trailers.

C. Generating ground truth data

The ground truth data are binary masks marking edges of clearly visible and partially occluded boxes of varying sizes and orientations. The ground truth data for each distance map consists of two binary masks, one marking the inner, and one marking the outer box edges. Fig. 3 presents the ground truth data for the distance map in Fig. 1, with the inner box edges marked in green and the outer marked in yellow. Ground truth data are provided for 225 scans. The edge classes (pixels belonging to an inner box edge and pixels belonging to an outer box edge) are each represented with 2.2% of the total number of pixels in the dataset.

III. DETECTION OF BOX EDGES

A. Data preparation

The input distance maps contain barrel distortion noticeable only on large planar surfaces (trailer floor, walls); therefore the box edges are represented by straight lines and applying distortion correction has little effect on the results of edge detection. However, both inner and outer edges are heavily affected by ToF distance measurement errors. Previous work has proved the ability of CNNs to learn complex perspective transformations [16], therefore we hypothesize the CNN can adapt to the heavy depth distortion of edges without the need for preprocessing. Further motivated by minimizing processing time, the raw distance maps that are normalized in the range 0 to 1 are used as CNN input without other preprocessing. Stretching the contrast emphasizes the edges (difference in pixel intensity), which proves beneficial in edge detection.
125 randomly chosen scans comprise the training set, 20 scans are chosen for validating, and 80 for testing the CNN. Due to the small training set, a different random combination of data augmentation strategies (zoom, rotation, shifting, shear, and horizontal flip) is applied to each batch of training samples in every epoch to maximize the number of different data transformations. This augmentation strategy helps to simulate a 5 times larger dataset in the worst-case scenario.

B. Proposed CNN structure

As discussed in detail in Section II.B, the inner and outer edges are represented by largely different characteristics in the distance maps - inner edges are rounded, and outer edges are significantly emphasized due to distance measurement errors. The large difference in the representation of the two types of edges motivates training the model to generate two separate probability maps for the two types of edges.

The diagram in Fig. 4 presents the structure of the proposed CNN model. The encoder (contraction path) consists of 2 contraction blocks marked in yellow. The first contraction block contains a downsampling layer which reduces the feature map dimensions by 2 using a non-overlapping window. The decoder (expansion path) consists of 2 expansion blocks marked in green. The second expansion block contains an upsampling layer which doubles the feature map dimensions to generate class labels for all pixels of the input image (producing output with same dimensions as the input). All hidden layers are followed by ReLU activations and operate on zero-padded input feature maps with 3x3 filter size. The output convolution layer contains 3 filters to provide output probability maps for outer edges, inner edges, and non-edge pixels. The output convolution layer is followed by sigmoid activation. The number of pooling layers is limited to one, since reducing the feature maps further leads to discarding of valuable data containing crucial features for detecting edges. Each edge class is represented with only 2.5% of the total number of pixels in the dataset; therefore discarding a large amount of information significantly reduces model accuracy. Fig. 5 displays the probability map of outer edges, and Fig. 6 the probability map of inner edges in the distance map in Fig. 1.

C. Optimization details

The ADAM (ADaptive Moment estimation) optimization algorithm [17] with a learning rate of $10^{-4}$ and the binary cross-entropy cost function are used in the training process. The model is trained for 300 epochs with a mini-batch size of 20 samples and fine-tuned for another 50 epochs with a mini-batch size of 2 samples. The small batch size enables retaining important features present in a small number of samples, which are lost using a larger batch size (retaining general dataset features).

IV. POST-PROCESSING

A. Trailer floor and walls suppression

Trailer walls with non-planar structure (e.g. ribbed walls, as shown in Fig. 1) and packaging types other than boxes (bags, irregular objects) contain significantly more edges than boxes. Furthermore, outer edges are emphasized by the depth scanning errors. This may result in detecting edges that do not belong to boxes as separate edges or additional edge segments connected to box edges. The position of the trailer walls and floor can be obtained with system calibration, and they can subsequently be easily removed. Other packaging types (bags, irregular objects) can be detected with different algorithms and subsequently removed. For this work, trailer floor walls and other packaging types are manually removed.

B. Edge Thinning

The desired output of the edge detection algorithm is continuous lines with 1px thickness for each inner and outer
box edge. The edge detection CNN generates continuous probability maps for inner and outer edges for every input distance map. As observed in Fig. 7, the detection probability of the edges varies by a large margin. Inner edges or distorted outer edges may form lines as thick as 5 pixels in the probability maps. Therefore an edge thinning algorithm based on non-maximum suppression is designed to obtain a binary mask of edges with 1-pixel thickness from the output probability maps.

First, probability values lower than 0.1 are discarded from the probability map. Two binary masks are generated for each probability map, each marking the positions of local maxima along each row and column of the probability map, respectively. A binary edge mask is formed by combining the two masks of local maxima with a logical OR operation. Disconnected edge components consisting of fewer than 2 pixels are removed. The final edge mask is obtained by merging the thinned masks of the inner and outer edge maps with a logical OR operation. Edge components containing less than 5 pixels are removed. The morphological post-processing steps do not ensure edge continuity. This must be provided by the CNN output (Fig. 7).

V. RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTS ANALYSIS

The high performance of the proposed model on the validation and testing sets containing samples with large variety prove the model generalizes successfully over the different packaging types and configurations. As observed in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, the emphasized outer edges are detected with a much larger probability in comparison to the inner edges. Cases of outer edges with a gradual change in depth due to distance measurement errors are also detected with a lower probability. Therefore, the binarization threshold is fixed to a relatively low value of 0.1. All crucial box edges are detected with probability above the threshold, and the CNN strongly rejects the background pixels and the other types of packaging (bags, irregular objects). Therefore a custom edge thinning algorithm is able to successfully produce an edge mask with edge thickness of 1px encompassing all types of box edges. Several false negative cases of inner edges as a result of too large distortion due to distance measurement errors are observed in the test data. An example of this can be seen in Fig. 7 (parts of edges touching the left wall are not detected).

Fig. 8 shows the results of edge segmentation for the scene represented with a color photograph in Fig. 9. The proposed CNN described in Section III.B is compared to three algorithms described in previous works: Canny edge detector, original U-Net architecture performing binary edge classification, and a reduced U-Net architecture featuring only two consecutive convolution blocks in the contraction and expansion path with filter numbers 128 – 64 – 64 – 128 and a single downsampling layer in the first convolution block, also performing binary classification.

The algorithm performance is calculated on a test set of 80 distance maps containing packages, bags and several types of irregular objects. The performance metrics in Table I take into account false positive detection errors on bags and irregular objects. Some types of errors are more significant for the particular application of package loading/unloading. Packages at the front top of the scene are the first to be removed; therefore detecting them correctly is crucial. The removal of the front and top boxes decreases the occlusion of the back boxes and allows errors on the back packages to be corrected. Furthermore, bags and irregular objects can be detected with other detection algorithms, thereby eliminating any false positives originating from them. Visual inspection of the results confirms the front packages not affected by heavy distortion are detected correctly. Several cases of parts of reflective surfaces with erroneous distance measurements are detected as edges mark false positive classifications by the proposed CNN (small edge components within box sides in Fig. 8d). However, such false positive segments cannot lead to box surface oversegmentation since there are no cases of false positive edges completely splitting any surface into multiple parts.

Table I compares the proposed method and experiments to previous edge detection algorithms. Accuracy, precision and recall are calculated on the binary edge masks with 1px
The Canny edge detector does not facilitate defining the edges. Adding pooling layers to the proposed multi-class configuration significantly reduces the CNN precision due to loss of details, and provides much worse overall accuracy despite the high recall value. Class frequency balancing during training cost calculation according to the percentage of pixels each class is represented with does not improve model performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper presents an end-to-end trainable CNN structure for semantic segmentation of package edges in a distance map optimized for training with a limited number of samples and heavily imbalanced classes. As shown by the visual results and calculated metrics, the proposed CNN performs correct segmentation of box edges represented by a sufficient number of distance points, regardless of the position and orientation of the boxes and depth measurement error characteristic of ToF depth scanning. Therefore, the CNN is able to successfully retain crucial features from the small, highly variable dataset. Separating the target objective into two classes represented by highly different features (inner and outer edges) significantly improves the segmentation performance. A simple custom edge thinning algorithm successfully creates one pixel thick box edges. Following from the presented results and analysis, the proposed algorithm represents a successful initial step for package detection for automatic trailer loading/unloading.
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TABLE I. RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF PROPOSED ALGORITHM AND EXPERIMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CNN</th>
<th>Acc (%)</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>AP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canny</td>
<td>93.57</td>
<td>0.1207</td>
<td>0.2381</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-Net</td>
<td>96.80</td>
<td>0.3738</td>
<td>0.3584</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced U-Net</td>
<td>96.92</td>
<td>0.3976</td>
<td>0.3804</td>
<td>0.3845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed multi-class</td>
<td><strong>97.13</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.4487</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5091</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.4928</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed 2 poolings</td>
<td>96.32</td>
<td>0.3520</td>
<td><strong>0.5109</strong></td>
<td>0.4394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed class weight</td>
<td>96.63</td>
<td>0.3821</td>
<td>0.4986</td>
<td>0.4272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Color photograph of the scene represented with a distance map in Fig. 8.